IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE TWENTIETH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO: 15196 of 2002

Between:

Goppa Chandra Reddy S/o. Narsi Reddy, Chairman, Edcn. Committee,
Dattayapalli Village Turkapalli Mandal
Nalgonda District

... PETITIONER

AND

1 The District Educational Officer
Nalgonda
2 The Mandal Development Officer
Turkapalli Nalgonda District
3 The Mandal Educational Officer
M.D.O. Office Turkapalli
Nalgonda District
4 The Mandal Educational Officer
M. D.O. Office Turkapalli
Nalgonda District
5 The Head Master, CPS
Dattayapalli Turkapalli Mandal
Nalgonda District.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to pass an order or direction or writ under Articles 226 of the constitution of India, more particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent Nos. 3 & 4 in removing the petitioner from the post of the Chairman, Education Committee of the Fifth respondent School orally as bad, arbitrary, illegal and violative of the principles of natural Justice and consequently direct the respondents to continue the petitioner as Chairman of the Education Committee of the Fifth respondent school till expiry of his term of office.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.C.DAMODHAR REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents 1 to 4: GP FOR SCHOOL EDUCATION

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed seeking Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents 3 and 4 in removing the petitioner from the post of Chairman, Education Committee of the fifth respondent school orally, as bad, illegal and arbitrary and for a consequential direction to the respondents to continue the petitioner as Chairman of the Education Committee of the fifth respondent school, till the expiry of his term of office.

2. The tenure of office of the petitioner is only for two years, and during the pendency of the writ petition, the same has expired. In view of the same, nothing survives to be adjudicated in the writ petition.

3. Hence, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No order as to costs.

_____

N.V. RAMANA, J

June 20, 2005

MRR

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

Copy to. –

1 The District Educational Officer
Nalgonda
2 The Mandal Development Officer
Turkapalli Nalgonda District
3 The Mandal Educational Officer
M.D.O. Office Turkapalli

Nalgonda District
4 The Mandal Educational Officer
M. D.O. Office Turkapalli
Nalgonda District

Two C.C. s to the G.P. for School Education, High Court of A.P., Hyderabad

(OUT)

Two C.D. Copies.